



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,327	07/11/2003	Harry M. Haytayan	HMH-91 CIP	4758
7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER		
Nicholas A. Pandiscio			SAETHER, FLEMMING	
Pandiscio & Pandiscio, P.C. 470 Totten Pond Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Waltham, MA 02451-1914			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/618,327	HAYTAYAN, HARRY M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Flemming Saether	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (2).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thitory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOII, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	,— ,,						
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an and/or alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objecti	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<del>-</del> · · · ·	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A I the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3677

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, as regards claim 2, the tapered surface extending to the top surface (there is currently shown an axial spacing therebetween) and in regards to claims 1, 7 and 14, the thread "adjacent" the flutes (they are currently shown to overlap) must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dicke (US 6,698,987) in view of Piacenti (US 5,045,905). Dicke discloses a fastener (1) comprising a shaft with a tapered tip (at 4) and a head (5) at an opposite end. The head having a top surface with a tool receiving aperture (shown in phantom lines) and tapered surface extending away form the head which includes triangular shaped and circumferentially spaced ribs (not labeled) capable of functioning as cutting blades. Dicke further discloses the tip provided with cutting flutes (14) but does not disclose the inclusion of cutting blades or wings extending outwardly from the flutes. Piacenti discloses a fastener of a similar type as Dicke but, discloses the cutting flutes

Art Unit: 3677

(22) having cutting wings or blades (85 and 86) extending outwardly therefrom. Piacenti further discloses the cutting surfaces (26 and 34) of the flutes extending lengthwise of the fastener and the thread extending adjacent the flutes. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the cutting flutes of Dicke with ones as disclosed in Piacenti because the flutes as disclosed in Piacenti provide improved drilling of wood into metal (see abstract). Indeed the skilled artisan would have recognized the improved drilling would optimize the installation of the wood, or a softer material onto metal while still maintaining the advantageous self-tapping thread disclosed in Dicke.

Claims 7, 8 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dicke (US 6,698,987) in view of Piacenti (US 5,045,905) and further in view of Donner (US 6,832,696). The combination of Dicke and Piacenti disclose a fastener as described above but, does not disclose the fastener to be received in a plastic clip. Donner discloses a plastic clip (1) the receiving a plurality of similar fasteners. The clip having a series of aligned openings each having a plurality of ribs (3a, 3b) with a web (9, Figs. 5, 5A) extending therebetween, all of which being integral with a top surface and defining a inner opening for a fastener (see Fig. 4). The fastener head is smaller than the opening (see Fig. 1) such that upon installation the head of the fastener would destroy the ribs and web. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the fasteners of modified Dicke into a clip as disclosed in Donner in order to facilitate operation in a power tool for

Art Unit: 3677

more efficient installation. The clip of Donner being optimal for screw applications. Since the specific material of the clip would have been recognized though experimentation and it would have been an obvious duplication of parts to increase the number of ribs to six.

In regards to the Donner's filing date, it should be noted that the filing date of Donner, although after the parent of instant application, is prior to the current CIP application and is relied upon only to disclose the new subject matter added in current CIP application, specifically the clip with the web.

Claims 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dicke in view of Piacenti or Dicke in view of Piacenti and Donner as applied to claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 above, and further in view of König (US 5,772,379). Modified Dicke does not disclose the ribs to be rectangular in cross-section. In the embodiment of Fig. 5, König discloses fastener having a head (1) with ribs (8) of a rectangular cross section which extend tot eh shank of the fastener. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the ribs of Dicke with ones as disclosed in König because König disclose the ribs to be optimal in forming a countersink thus easily making the top of the fastener head flush with the surface.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,327 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677